

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/791,997	COSTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Zachary M. Pape	2835	

**All Participants:**

(1) Zachary M. Pape.

(2) Quin Hoellwarth.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 December 2004

**Time:** 4:25pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1-27*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 12/8/2004 attorney spoke with examiner in regards to an election on the claims. Group 1, claims 1-6, Group 2, claims 7-13, Group 3, claim 14 -20, Group 4, claims 21-27. The attorney spoke with client and returned a phone call on 12/8/2004 at 6:18pm to elect group 1, claims 1-6 without traverse. Claims 7-27 were removed from consideration and the application was examined on the merits of the elected claims..